



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,422	12/23/2004	Toshiya Hamada	275872US6PCT	6910
22850	7590	11/14/2008	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HARVEY, DAVID E	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2621			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
11/14/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/519,422	Applicant(s) HAMADA ET AL.
	Examiner DAVID E. HARVEY	Art Unit 2621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2008.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-12,14-17 and 38 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 8,10-12,14-17 and 38 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/2008
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

1. The examiner notes that the instant specification describes the recited "reproduction apparatus" of claims 1-7, and 38 as follows:

"The BD virtual player 30 reproduces data from a disc shaped recording medium defined according to the embodiment of the present invention. The BD virtual player 30 is an object in a computer environment such as a personal computer. The computer environment is not limited to a general-purpose personal computer. Instead, the computer environment includes a software environment incorporated with a dedicated reproducing apparatus and/or recording and reproducing apparatus that reproduces data from a disc shaped recording medium defined according to the embodiment of the present invention. Hereinafter, a disc shaped recording medium defined according to the embodiment of the present invention is referred to as disc."

[lines 8-21 on page 55]

"Fig. 66A, Fig. 66B, and Fig. 66C are functional block diagrams showing an example of a structure of a player decoder 100 according to an embodiment of the present invention. The player decoder 100 interprets data reproduced from a disc loaded into a drive device (not shown), outputs an AV stream, and allows the user to interactively operate the output AV stream. All operations of the player decoder 100 are controlled by a CPU (not shown). Streams and data flows of individual portions of the player decoder 100 are monitored and controlled by the CPU."

[lines 3-14 on page 105]

"In such a structure, when a content described and whose data is structured according to the embodiment of the present invention is loaded into a drive device (not shown), the file "scenario.hdmv" and so forth are read. In accordance with the description of the file "scenario.hdmv", a content recorded on the disc are reproduced. When the user issues a command with the operating means (not shown), each portion of the drive device and the player decoder 100 are controlled by the multimedia engine 106. Data is reproduced in accordance with the command."

[lines 9-19 on page 118]

"In the foregoing description, each portion of the player decoder 100 is composed of hardware. However, the present invention is not limited to such an example. For instance, the player decoder 100 can be accomplished by a process of software. In this case, the player decoder 100 can be operated on a computer

Art Unit: 2621

device. In addition, the player decoder 100 can be accomplished by a combination of hardware and software. For example, the audio decoder 118 and the MPEG video decoder 120 may be composed of hardware. The rest of the player decoder 100 may be composed of software. A program that causes a computer device to execute the player decoder 100 composed of only software or a combination of hardware and software is recorded on a recording medium for example a CD-ROM (Compact Disc-Read Only Memory) and supplied therewith. The CD-ROM is loaded into a CD-ROM drive of the computer device. The program recorded on the CD-ROM is installed to the computer device. As a result, the foregoing process can be executed on the computer device. Since the structure of the computer device is well known, the description thereof will be omitted." [lines 3-24 on page 119]

While the majority of these descriptions expressly indicate that the recited "reproduction apparatus" comprises "hardware", e.g., a "drive device" and a "CPU" controlled "player decoder 100", at least some of the descriptions suggest that applicant intends the disclosure, if not the claims, to encompass an "only software" embodiment; e.g.,

"A program that causes a computer device to execute the player decoder 100 composed of only software or a combination of hardware and software is recorded on a recording medium for example a CD-ROM (Compact Disc-Read Only Memory) and supplied therewith. The CD-ROM is loaded into a CD-ROM drive of the computer device." [lines 3-24 on page 119]

If such an "only software" embodiment were actually claimed, such claims would not be directed to statutory subject matter in accordance with Section 101. However:

- A) It is the examiner's position that the instant claims 1-7 and 38 are not supported by the "only software" embodiment of the disclosure and therefor that these claims are directed to statutory subject matter - i.e., the claims are necessarily directed to the "hardware" implementation(s) of the instant disclosure;
- B) It is the examiner's position that instant claim 8 recites steps that are inherently performed by a "particular apparatus" and thus are "tied" thereto; and

C) It is the examiner's position that, for the reasons argued by applicant, claims 10-12 and 14-17 are directed to recording mediums having "data structures" recorded thereon.

Given the above, the examiner contends that all of the pending claims are directed to statutory subject matter.

2. For clarity of the record, the examiner notes that the reading of the information from the "recording medium" by a "reading" element/step via a "controlling" element/step is set forth on pages 98-99 of the instant specification as originally filed: i.e.,

"With reference to Fig. 60A, it is assumed that while one angle (0) is being reproduced, the user switches the angle (0) to the angle (1). Then, the player continuously reads a stream of the angle (0) until the closest EP entry of which the value of the flag `is_angle_change_point` is "1". Next, the player searches a clip information file for an EP entry of the angle (1) in synchronization with the obtained EP entry, obtains the byte position of the obtained EP entry, and starts reading the stream from the obtained byte position. With the foregoing operation, angles can be seamlessly switched.

In the reproduction path on the disc, at the position of which the value of the flag `is_angle_change_point` is "1" a jump is performed between angles."

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the:

- A) The "reader" recited in lines 3-11 of claim 38;
- B) The "controller" recited in lines 12-14 of claim 38;
- C) The "reading means" recited in lines 3-11 of claim 1; and
- D) The "reproducing means" recited in lines 12-13 of claim 1;

must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A) Claim 1, line 3, recites a "reading means for..." which is presumed to invoke a Section 112-6 interpretation. However, it is unclear from the written description as to which of the disclosed structure(s) correspond to this recited means. As such, the recitation is indefinite. Clarification is needed.

B) Claim 1, line 12, recites a "reproducing means for..." which is presumed to invoke a Section 112-6 interpretation. However, it is unclear from the written description as to which of the disclosed structure(s) correspond to this recited means. As such, the recitation is indefinite. Clarification is needed.

6. The following "prior art" is noted:

A) US Patent #5,884,004 to Sato et al."

Sato et al. has been cited for its description of a "data structure" (note Figure 62 & 63) which supports a multi-angle switching feature [Note: lines 23-32 and 38-44 of column 83; lines 29-41 of column 77; lines 16-20, 30-32, and 58-62 of column 80; and lines 1-9 of column 81]

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID E. HARVEY whose telephone number is (571) 272-7345. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Marsha D. Harold-Banks, can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DAVID E HARVEY/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

DAVID E HARVEY
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2621